

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,559		02/11/2000	SARA W. BOEHMER	FL1049USPCT	6181
23906	7590	09/20/2006		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY				MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			ART ŲNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE				1764	
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(<i>l</i>	~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comment	09/485,559	BOEHMER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN NO DATE 111	Virginia Manoharan	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communic If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeation. Dry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>10 February 2006</u> .						
·=	,—						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are solutions. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to boom to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance e correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Incuments have been received in Apethe priority documents have been received in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
	\						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) Paper No(s)	Immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date Formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	`				

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO A -9703936 or Miller (6,156,161) and EP -A 0626362.

The above references to WO '936 and EP ' 362 are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at page 2 of the previous Office action, dated July 7, 2005. Miller is applied for the same reasons as set forth in WO '936 process.

Applicants have attempted to disqualify reference WO '936 under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) by showing that the invention was owned by, or subject to an obligation of assignment to, the same entity as the WO '936 at the time this invention was made. However, applicants have failed to provide a statement that the application and the reference were owned by, or subject to an obligation of assignment to, the same person at the time the invention was made in a conspicuous manner, and therefore, is not disqualified as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Applicant must file the required evidence in order to properly disqualify the reference under 35 U.S.C. 103(c). See MPEP § 706.02(l).

In addition, applicant may overcome the applied art either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that the invention disclosed therein was derived from the inventor of this

Application/Control Number: 09/485,559

Art Unit: 1764

application, and is therefore, not the invention "by another," or by antedating the applied art under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)as being unpatentable over Applicants' Disclosure of Admitted Prior Art is illustrated by Japanese patent application No. 07291878 the (AAPA) in view of EP 0626362 and WO '936.

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at page 2, second and third full paragraph of the previous Office action, dated July 7, 2005.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahler et al (5,830,325) and EP 0626362.

Mahler et al discloses a process for separating I,I,I-trifluoroethane (HFC-143a) from a fluorocarbon e.g., difluoromethane (HFC-32), chlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12), chloropentafuoroethane (CFC-115) and pentafluoroethane (HFC-125) impurities by using extractive distillation with an extractive agent comprising an alcohol e.g., methanol, ethanol and propanol. See e.g., col. 1, lines 9-13 and 37-38. EP '362 feed material includes materials belonging to the same chlorinated hydrocarbon as the claimed invention and uses agents including the same alcohols, as well as hydrocarbons as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-272-1450.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,559

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola, can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIRGINIA MANOHARAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 133 / 764